Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases xxx (2020) xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases



journal homepage: www.onlinepcd.com

# Implementing movement at the workplace: Approaches to increase physical activity and reduce sedentary behavior in the context of work

### Nicolaas P. Pronk a,b,\*,1

<sup>a</sup> Social and Behavioral Sciences, Harvard TH Chan School of Public Health, Bloomington, MN, United States of America
 <sup>b</sup> Health Policy and Management, University of Minnesota School of Public Health, Minneapolis, MN, United States of America

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: 18 October 2020 18 October 2020 Available online xxxx

Keywords: Workplace Physical activity Sedentary behavior Program design Implementation

#### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this article is to highlight approaches to increase movement, physical activity (PA), and cardiorespiratory fitness, and reduce sedentary behavior (SB) in the context of the workplace. A deliberate strategy that will enable the successful promotion of movement at the workplace includes a business plan and rationale, an organizing framework, prioritization of interventions that are known to generate outcomes, and alignment of programmatic solutions with strong program design principles. Recommended principles of design include leadership, relevance, partnership, comprehensiveness, implementation, engagement, communications, being data-driven, and compliance. Specific evidence-based intervention examples are presented in the context of a socio-ecological framework including the individual, group, communications environment, physical environment, and policy domains. Increased movement at the workplace, as a result of promoting PA and reducing SB, generates important health outcomes across physical, mental, social, and economic domains and these benefits extend across the individual and organizational levels.

© 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

#### Contents

Introduction	0
Overarching principles of program design	0
Strategies to increase movement, PA and reduce SB	0
Barriers	0
Conclusions	0
Author disclosure	0
Declaration of Competing Interest	0
Acknowledgements	0
References	0

#### Introduction

A large body of scientific evidence supports the benefits of physical activity (PA), exercise, cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF), and reductions in sedentary behaviors (SB) across a host of outcomes including lower

E-mail address: nico.p.pronk@healthpartners.com.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2020.10.004 0033-0620/© 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. risk for cardiovascular disease.<sup>1–4</sup> The new 2018 *Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans*, 2nd edition, and the scientific report supporting the guidelines, also indicate new evidence for health benefits of PA, including immediate and longer-term benefits for how people think, feel, function, and sleep.<sup>1,2</sup> There is no longer the need to accumulate PA in bouts of 10 min or more to receive the benefits of PA. The most recent 2018 guidelines also include two additional topics not previously addressed, *i.e.*, SB and interventions to promote regular PA.

The benefits of more movement, increased CRF, and less SB cover a large range of health and non-health issues.<sup>1–26</sup> Among this list of health benefits, just to name a few, are improved cognition, quality of life, sleep, physical function, emotional function, and reduced depression. Benefits

Please cite this article as: N.P. Pronk, Implementing movement at the workplace: Approaches to increase physical activity and reduce sedentar..., Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2020.10.004

Abbreviations: CRF, Cardiorespiratory fitness; PA, Physical Activity; SB, Sedentary behavior.

<sup>\*</sup> HealthPartners Institute, 3311 E Old Shakopee Road, Bloomington, MN 55425, United States of America.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From: The HealthPartners Institute, Bloomington, Minnesota, USA.

### <u>ARTICLE IN PRESS</u>

#### N.P. Pronk

across various non-health domains include increased productivity, more meaningful co-worker interactions, reduced injury rates, lower presenteeism, and lower excess medical care costs.<sup>6,11,14,25,26</sup> Furthermore, the benefits extend beyond the individual level into the organizational level. For example, improved culture of health, increased company performance in the marketplace, enhanced corporate image, and improved retention of talent.<sup>15–23</sup> A more inclusive list of these benefits is presented in Table 1. As a result, it may be concluded that movement and CRF benefits both the worker and the company, an observation supported by health, social, and economic benefits.<sup>1–26</sup>

Unfortunately, the contemporary workplace is characterized by office work which is largely sedentary. PA requirements for work have steadily declined over the past half century. During this time, a significant shift in the proportion of jobs moving from a "moderate" activity category to "light and sedentary" categories has been noted.<sup>27</sup> The estimated impact of this shift is a decrease in excess of 100 cal in daily occupational energy expenditure. The sedentary nature of office work has increased sitting time to the degree that *prolonged* sitting time has emerged as a significant health concern for office workers.<sup>28,29</sup>

The workplace represents an important setting for the promotion of PA and reduction of SB.<sup>10,29,30</sup> It is the purpose of this article to highlight approaches to increase movement, PA, CRF, and reduce SB in the context of the workplace setting.

#### Overarching principles of program design

The goal to implement a PA promotion program needs to be considered in context of the broader health and well-being strategy at the

#### Table 1

Benefits of movement and physical activity for workers and the company.

Worker benefits	Company benefits
Improved cognition	Higher overall productivity
Improved quality of life	Lower illness absence
Improved sleep	Lower presenteeism
Improved bone health	Enhanced mood states
Improved physical function	Improved co-worker interactions
Improved emotional function	Improved team performance
Improved self-perceived general health status	Enhanced customer interactions
Improved overall health risk profile	Reduced injury rates
Reduced productivity loss	Improved overall health and well-being of workers
Reduced anxiety; improved stress	Improved culture of health and
management; enhanced mood	well-being
Reduced risk of depression	Enhanced marketplace
	performance
Lower risk of high blood pressure	Enhanced corporate image
Lower risk of adverse blood lipid profile	Enhanced retention of talent
Lower risk of type 2 diabetes	Enhanced talent recruitment
Lower risk of cancer	Higher job satisfaction
Lower risk of dementia (incl. Alzheimer's disease)	Reduced health care need
Lower risk of cardiovascular disease	Lower health care costs
Weight loss	Lower disability costs
Lower risk of weight regain	Reduced frequency of errors
Lower risk of all-cause mortality	
Lower risk of cardiovascular disease	
More likely to see a dector for routine care	
More likely to visit a deptist in the past year	
More likely to sock phone advice from a	
nurse	
Higher job satisfaction	
Increased worker income	
Lower debt	
Lower long-term unemployment	
Increased overall family earnings	
Reduced health care need and costs	
Reduced upper back neck pain	

#### Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases xxx (2020) xxx

workplace. The design of an overarching corporate health and wellbeing initiative should follow best practice principles that apply to all program types. Principles of program design should be based on what is known to be effective from the scientific literature and, optimally, such principles should have been applied in workplaces such that evidence-based strategies have shown practical utility through the use of case examples. Often, workplace initiatives combine multiple programmatic options into a single strategy labeled "PA" or "SB." As a result, the strategy of promoting PA or reducing SB provides people with various options to choose from so as to integrate and fit such options within their lifestyles and behavioral preferences. The goal to reduce prolonged sitting time may be accomplished through, for example, the use of sitstand desks, by integrating activity breaks throughout the day, or a combination of the two-forcing everyone into the same choice may not work well and is unlikely to yield high participation.<sup>29,30</sup> In 2009, a set of criteria were proposed to promote PA as a strategic corporate priority.<sup>30,31</sup> These criteria were directly linked to a set of essential elements for comprehensive worksite health promotion programs and health policy initiatives and presented in the context of a socioecological model. The criteria include<sup>31</sup>: 1) Organizing PA interventions within a framework that leverages the inter-relationships of individuals and their work environment; 2)Prioritizing the use of evidence-based and evidence-informed interventions; and 3) Aligning selected PA interventions with best practices for comprehensive, multi-component worksite health programs.

Against the backdrop of these criteria, a review of the research and practice literatures generated a set of 44 best practices that subsequently were organized into nine best practice principles for worksite health program design.<sup>32</sup> These principles are outlined in Table 2 and include: leadership, relevance, partnership, comprehensiveness, implementation, engagement, communications, being data-driven, and compliance. The principles have been successfully applied in the promotion of PA, the reduction of SB, and the design of various worksite health promotion programs, including efforts to develop a culture of health and well-being,<sup>33</sup> descriptions of the value proposition for worksite health and well-being,<sup>34</sup> design of workplace wellness recognition programs,<sup>35</sup> recommendations for the design of active workplaces,<sup>36</sup> implementation of comprehensive workplace health programs.<sup>39</sup>

Furthermore, a practical means of evaluating such programs is needed. Whereas the initial design of programs should be based on best available levels of evidence of effectiveness, the day-to-day implementation of such programs needs to be supported by easy-to-use metrics and pragmatic evaluations that may be carried out by non-expert staff. To that end, a practice-based system to support implementation with key design indicators and a metric to track impact has been introduced and deployed in areas of PA,<sup>40</sup> diabetes prevention,<sup>41,42</sup> obesity,<sup>43</sup> and worksite health promotion.<sup>37,44</sup> The four key design indicators represent: 1) the need for an interventional effect size to be worthwhile of the effort; 2) a scope of services to be defined so as to ensure the ability to estimate program costs and necessary implementation resources; 3) scalability to reach the entire population of interest; and 4) sustainability to continue the program implementation until benefits are experienced and the value of the program is achieved.<sup>40</sup> This set of key program design factors interacts through feedback loops and other integrated processes with a set of evaluation indicators. These indicators include: 1) penetration in the audience of choice; 2) implementation of the planned intervention; 3) participation of individuals into the program; and 4) effectiveness at the individual level (i.e., the proportion of successes that are created as a result of the program). Each of these indicators is represented as a proportion with an a priori defined numerator and denominator and the product of this set of indicators is referred to as the PIPE Impact Metric.<sup>40</sup> Examples of studies in which the PIPE Impact Metrics has been generated as a result of this type of evaluation include a PA program called the HealthPartners 10,000 Steps® program as implemented for a defined population of members with diabetes<sup>40</sup>

Note: List is created based on information from references. 1-23, 29, 30

#### N.P. Pronk

#### Table 2

Best practice design principles to increase movement and reduce sedentary behavior.

Design principles	Explanation	Best practice examples
Leadership	Elements that reflect program vision, organizational policy, resources, and implementation support	A clearly defined strategic business plan for the creation of a culture of health and well-being with assigned executive-level accountability
Relevance	Elements that address factors critical to program participation and connecting to the intrinsic motivations of workers	Use of behavioral economics to make healthy and active choices the easy choices; make programs easily accessible; use multiple methods of program delivery: inclusion of family
Partnership	Elements that relate to integration efforts with other groups or entities such as unions, other internal departments, external vendors, community organizations, among others	Ensure worker representation in programmatic decisions; use of participatory practices; connect the program to community resources that may be accessed outside of work hours
Comprehensiveness	The five components as defined by <i>Healthy People</i> 2010, that create a comprehensive program: health education, supportive physical and social environments, integration of the worksite program into the organization's structure, linkage to related programs, and worksite screening	Use assessments of health risks with feedback; deploy organizational policy solutions to affect physical and psychosocial environmental changes ( <i>e.g.</i> , sit-stand workstation policy, physical activity breaks)
Implementation	Elements that ensure a planned, coordinated and fully executed work plan and process tracking system	Documented quarterly implementation plans with annual roll-ups; ensure all planned activities are implemented and tracked
Engagement	Elements that promote ongoing connections between employees and the program through activities and behaviors that build trust, respect, and an overall culture of health and well-being	Meaningful and relevant incentives that optimize participation in activity programs but do not jeopardize internal motivation; clearly defined expectations related to respectful behavior at the workplace
Communications	Elements that reflect a strategic communications plan that maintains high program visibility and recognition	Build a program brand under which physical activity can become a recognized activity; ensure year-round visibility and focus on the active workplace and reduce prolonged codontary bob wight
Being data-driven	Elements that ensure program measurement, reporting, evaluation, and continuous improvement	Use a defined measurement strategy to monitor progress and report to management; use evaluation data for improvement
Compliance	Elements that ensure the program meets regulatory and ethical requirements and protect the personal information of employees and participants	Ensure meeting ergonomic and safety standards; ensure meeting regulatory requirements ( <i>e.g.</i> , HIPAA, ADA, GINA, EEOC, <i>etc.</i> )

HIPAA = Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act; ADA = Americans with Disability Act; GINA = Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act; EEOC = Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

and the 8-year experience of a comprehensive worksite health promotion program in Finland.<sup>44</sup>

In summary, there is a clear sense of need and a set of suggestions for a deliberate strategy to be created that will enable the successful promotion of movement and reduction of SB at the workplace. This strategy includes a business plan and rationale, an organizing framework, the prioritization of intervention that are known to generate outcomes, and the alignment of programmatic solutions with strong program design principles.<sup>31</sup>

#### Strategies to increase movement, PA and reduce SB

Based on the available evidence of effectiveness,<sup>2,10,29,30</sup> a number of interventions and programmatic options have been identified that increase PA and reduce SB. Due to their variety, these options are categorized according to a social ecological framework with four broad levels - Individual, community, the communication environment (including information technology), and the physical environment and policy. The workplace is an example of a community setting that crosscuts these four broad levels as the evidence of effective strategies and tactics is considered.

The 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee Scientific Report identifies successful examples to increase movement and reduce SB in the workplace setting.<sup>2,45</sup> Effective strategies to increase PA at the various levels of the socioecological model are presented and the worksite is highlighted related to the reduction of SB.<sup>45</sup> Similarly, the scientific background paper for the National Physical Activity Plan included a review of studies promoting PA and also organized the evidence into an ecological framework—however, it organized explicitly in the context of the workplace and the interests of the business and industry sector.<sup>30</sup> Taken together, these resources present many evidence-informed approaches that may be implemented at the workplace. Along with several other reviews on the topic, Table 3 presents a set of evidence-based intervention options that may be provided at the workplace.<sup>10,29–31,45</sup>

As noted in Table 3, there are quite a few programmatic options available that we know work. However, these programmatic options reflect a level of confidence that they are effective in increasing movement and reducing SB. That, in itself, may not be sufficient to persuade a corporate manager of decision-maker to invest in such options. Interventions should also be effective in improving business outcomes as presented in the second column of Table 1. Not all studies summarized in the 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee Scientific Report<sup>2,44</sup> include business outcomes, per sé. Studies such as the Takea-Stand Project,<sup>46</sup> which utilized a sit-stand intervention among call center employees, show reductions in sitting time of over 1 h per day but also reported improvements in health outcomes (e.g., reduced upper back and neck pain) and improved mood states (e.g., reductions in fatigue, confusion, and total mood disturbance) which, in turn, impact on lower sickness absence, productivity, and business performance. Another trial from Australia corroborated the results of the Take-a-Stand Project in terms of reductions in sitting time and back pain and also reported improvements in presenteeism and frequency of errors.<sup>47</sup> Therefore, whereas increased movement and reduced sedentary behavior are necessary outcomes of the proposed interventions, they are not sufficient in their own right. In addition, important indicators of workplace business performance must be featured as well.

#### Barriers

Interrupting prolonged sedentary time (*i.e.*, more than 60 min periods) reaps benefits to health and function.<sup>48</sup> However, at the individual and organizational levels many barriers exist to effectively and efficiently do so. It is important to call out barriers in an effort to circumvent such problems and be prepared to address them successfully in the implementation plans.

A recent study addressed this concern by focusing on the practicality of interventions designed to reduce SB in the workplace.<sup>49</sup> The researchers studied the practice-based realities of intentionally interrupting sitting time every 30 min with a 2–3 min moderate intensity PA. Using inductive thematic analysis, they identified themes that

#### N.P. Pronk

#### Table 3

Intervention strategies to increase movement and reduce sedentary behavior in the workplace according to socioecological levels.

Socioecological level	Subcategories	Intervention or programmatic option
Individual	Behavior change theories and models	<ul> <li>Incentives (e.g., lottery)</li> <li>Individual face-to-face counseling to increase PA levels</li> <li>Sit-stand workstations</li> <li>PA breaks</li> <li>Treadmill workstations</li> <li>Stairwell walking</li> <li>Skip.stop elevators</li> </ul>
Group-based	Behavior change theories and models	<ul> <li>Integrate 10-min exercise breaks into daily routines conducted individually or in a group setting</li> <li>PA breaks</li> <li>Incentive-based online PA intervention using a team-based format</li> <li>Light physical exercise interventions (resistance training and guidance) focused on headache, neck, and shoulder symptoms</li> <li>Health fairs and worksite-wide events that include biometric and behavioral self-assessments with feedback</li> <li>Walking groups and buddy systems to create supportive social networks at work</li> <li>Facilities and signs aimed at helping workers meet recommended levels of PA (point-of-decision prompts)</li> <li>Implementation of an all-employee health assessment or health risk appraisal including PA assessment and feedback integrated with educational outreach and follow-un</li> </ul>
Communication environment	<ul> <li>Web-based or Internet-delivered interventions</li> <li>Tailored or targeted communications materials</li> <li>Telephone-assisted interventions</li> <li>Wearable activity monitors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Printed motivationally-tailored PA intervention materials</li> <li>Standard and motivationally tailored Internet-based messages to promote PA (prompting software)</li> <li><i>E</i>-mail intervention using messaging to promote PA</li> <li>Internet-based counseling for PA, nutrition and weight management</li> <li>Telephone-based coaching or counseling for PA change</li> <li>Use pedometers to increase PA</li> <li>Informational outreach activities and campaigns to promote PA</li> </ul>
Physical environment and policy	<ul> <li>Point-of-decision prompts</li> <li>Support for active transport</li> <li>Tax policy</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Provide secure parking for bicycles</li> <li>Install showers and changing rooms for workers' use</li> <li>Advocate and support the introduc- tion and passage of legislation that supports active</li> <li>commuting to work</li> <li>Provide tax breaks for companies that implement comprehensive worksite health</li> <li>promotion programs</li> <li>Provide onsite fitness facilities and/or a PA-friendly campus, includ- ing the distribution</li> <li>of walking maps and easy access to walking/running routes</li> <li>Companies participate in community-based worksite exercise competitions</li> <li>Community-wide PA campaigns</li> <li>Sit-stand workstations</li> </ul>

Note: PA = physical activity.

Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases xxx (2020) xxx

elucidated the barriers to breaking up prolonged sitting time as experienced by the study subjects. They subsequently ordered the themes into three levels-first order, second order, and third order themes-from micro to meso to macro, respectively. The third order (macro) theme of "workplace culture" included the second order (meso) themes of pressure to constantly be working, lack of support from the employer, and normative workplace behavior which, in turn, were broken out into the first order (micro) themes of feeling judged by colleagues about taking time away from work, internal pressure to be at the desk, not normal office behavior, distraction for others, and feeling uncomfortable performing activity breaks. Another second order theme referred to "the reduction in work productivity" which was broken out into first order themes of loss of productivity and loss of focus or concentration on work tasks. The next second order theme of "specific work tasks" included first order themes related to the priority of deadlines, meetings or teaching, and dealing with people. Finally, a last second order theme referred to as "lack of knowledge and confidence" broke out into first order themes including lack of awareness of need to perform activity breaks, not feeling immediate effects of the activity, and lack of confidence to change behavior. Barriers to addressing the need for movement at the workplace should be included in the design characteristics and implementation plans of the interventions and be regularly reviewed as an integral part of the feedback mechanisms that include ongoing evaluations.<sup>40</sup>

#### Conclusions

Since the late 1600's, observations of the ill-health effects of SB at work and the lack of regular PA were reported by Bernardo Ramazzini, an Italian physician who is widely recognized as the father of industrial medicine.<sup>49</sup> In 1700, he published his Diseases of Workmen (De Morbis Arificum Diatribe), a text that later became the foundation of the occupational medicine discipline,<sup>50</sup> and in which he advised sedentary workers to "take to physical exercise at any rate on holidays" to counteract the harm done by many days of sedentary life. Today, the negative impact of sedentary behavior and lack of movement on health outcomes-physical, mental, social, and economic-are recognized at both the individual and organizational levels. As a result, it makes good business sense to intentionally counteract prolonged periods of sedentary work and inactivity with movement breaks. This paper has outlined both the principles according to which programs should be designed in order to generate levels of confidence that these solutions will prove effective and sustainable as well as the examples of programmatic solutions that may aid in doing so. Continued research and leadership from business and public health are needed to ensure that the evidence of effectiveness, creation of shared value, and mobilization of resources are aligned so as to reap the benefits of movement, PA, CRF, and reductions in prolonged SB for individual workers, the companies they work for, and society at large.

#### Author disclosure

I have nothing to disclose.

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

None.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by a grant from the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (U19 OH008861) for the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health Center for Work, Health and Well-being.

#### Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases xxx (2020) xxx

#### N.P. Pronk

#### References

- Department of Health and Human Services. *Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans*. 2nd ed. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services. 2018. Available from: , https://health.gov/paguidelines/second-edition/pdf/Physical\_Activity\_ Guidelines\_2nd\_edition.pdf.
- 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee. 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee Scientific Report. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. 2018. Available from: , https://health.gov/paguidelines/secondedition/report/pdf/pag\_advisory\_committee\_report.pdf.
- Carnethon M, Whitsel LP, Franklin, et al. Worksite Wellness Programs for Cardiovascular Disease Prevention: A Policy Statement From the American Heart Association Circulation, 120. 2009:1725-1741.
- 4. Pronk NP. Fitness of the US workforce. Ann Rev Public Health 2015;36:131-149.
- Katz AS, Pronk NP. The relationship between physical activity and care-seeking behavior among employed adults. J Phys Act Health 2014;11:313-319.
- Berry LL, Mirabito AM, Baun WB. What's the hard return on employee wellness programs? Harvard Bus Rev 2010;88:104–112.
- 7. Conley D, Glauber R. Gender, body mass, and socioeconomic status: new evidence from the PSID. Adv Health Econ Health Serv Res 2006;17:253-275.
- Kosteas VD. The effect of exercise on earnings: evidence from the NLSY. J Labor Res 2012;33:225-250.
- Münster E, Rüger H, Ochsmann E, et al. Over-indebtedness as a marker of socioeconomic status and its association with obesity: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health 2009;9:286.
- Pronk NP. Physical activity at the workplace. In: Bornstein DB, Eyler AA, Maddock JE, et al, eds. Physical Activity and Public Health Practice. New York, NY: Springer; 2019. p. 125-139.
- Wang F, McDonald T, Champagne LJ, et al. Relationship of body mass index and physical activity to health care costs among employees. J Occup Environ Med 2004;46: 428-436.
- 12. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Economic News Release: Employer Costs for Employee Compensation. Available at: , https://www.bls.gov/news.release/ecec.nr0.htm.
- Fronstin P, Werntz R. The "Business Case" for Investing in Employee Health: A Review of the Literature and Employer Self-assessment. Available at: , https://www.ebri.org/ publications/ib/index.cfm?fa=ibDisp&content\_id=495 2004.
- Walker TJ, Tullar JM, Diamond PM, et al. The longitudinal relation between selfreported physical activity and presenteeism. Prev Med 2017;102:120-126.
- Pronk NP. Population health management and a healthy workplace culture: A primer. Engaging Wellness. Corporate Health and Wellness Association; 2012.
- Fabius R, Thayer RD, Konicki DL, et al. The link between workforce health and safety and the health of the bottom line: Tracking market performance of companies that nurture a "culture of health". J Occup Environ Med 2013;55(9):993-1000.
- Grossmeier J, Fabius R, Flynn JP, et al. Linking workplace health promotion best practices and organizational financial performance: tracking market performance of companies with highest scores on the HERO Scorecard. J Occup Environ Med 2016;58(1): 16-23.
- Goetzel RZ, Fabius R, Fabius D, et al. The Stock performance of C. Everett Koop Award winners compared with the Standard & Poor's 500 Index. J Occup Environ Med 2016;58(1):9-15.
- 19. Conradie C, Smit E, Malan D. Corporate health and wellness and the financial bottom line: evidence from South Africa. J Occup Environ Med 2016;58(2):45-53.
- Eccles B, Ioannou I, Serafeim G. The Impact of a Corporate Culture of Sustainability on Corporate Behavior and Performance. Working Paper No. 12–035. Boston: Harvard Business School. 2011.
- Yach D. Health as a cornerstone of good business and sustainable development. Am J Public Health 2016;106(10):1758-1759.
- 22. Von Schirnding Y. Health and sustainable development: can we rise to the challenge? Lancet 2002;360:632-637.
- 23. Pronk NP, Malan D, Christie G, et al. Health and well-being metrics in business: the value of integrated reporting. J Occup Environ Med 2018;60(1):19-22.
- Piercy KL, Troiano RP, Ballard RM, et al. The physical activity guidelines for Americans. JAMA 2018;320(19):202-208 https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.14854.
- Pronk NP, Goodman MJ, O'Connor PJ, et al. Relationship between modifiable health risks and short-term health care costs. JAMA 1999;282:2235-2239.

- Pronk NP, Tan AW, O'Connor PJ. Obesity, fitness, willingness to communicate and health care costs. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1999;31:1535-1543.
- Church TS, Thomas DM, Tudor-Locke C, et al. Trends over 5 decades in U.S. occupation-related physical activity and their associations with obesity. PLoS ONE 2011;6(5):e19657.
- Straker L, Coenen P, Dunstan D, et al. Sedentary Work–Evidence on an Emergent Work Health and Safety Issue–Final Report. Canberra (Australia): Safe Work Australia. 2016.
- Pronk NP. Sedentary behavior and worksite interventions. In: Zhu W, Owen N, eds. Sedentary Behavior and Health Concepts, Assessments, and Interventions. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 2017. p. 297-305.. (410–412).
- Pronk NP. Physical activity promotion in business and industry: evidence, context, and recommendations for a national plan. J Phys Act Health 2009;6(suppl 2):S220-S235.
- Pronk NP, Kottke TE. Physical activity promotion as a strategic corporate priority to improve worker health and business performance. Prev Med 2009;49:316-321.
- Pronk NP. Best practice design principles of worksite health and wellness programs. ACSM's Health Fitness J 2014;18(1):42-46.
- Pronk NP, Lagerstrom D, Haws J. LifeWorks@TURCK: a best practice case study on workplace well-being program design. ACSM's Health Fitness J 2015;19(3):43-48.
- 34. Pronk NP. Placing workplace wellness in proper context: value beyond money. Prev Chronic Dis 2014;11:1140128 https://doi.org/10.5888/pcd11.140128.
- Fonarow GC, Calitz C, Arena R, et al. Workplace wellness recognition for optimizing workplace health: a presidential advisory from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2015;131:e480-e497, http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/early/2015/04/ 13/CIR.00000000000206.
- Pronk NP. Design recommendations for active workplaces. Ergonom Des 2015;23(3): 36-40.
- 37. Äikäs AH, Pronk NP, Hirvensalo MH, et al. Does implementation follow design? A case study of a workplace health promotion program using the 4-S program design and the PIPE impact metric evaluation models. J Occup Environ Med 2017;59(8): 752-760.
- Lynn JS, Urda JL. Creation of a successful worksite wellness program using best practices. Worksite Health Inter 2015;6(2):6-8.
- Hoffman L, Kennedy-Armbruster C. Case study using best practice design principles for worksite wellness programs. ACSM's Health Fitness J 2015;19(3):43-48.
- Pronk NP. Designing and evaluating health promotion programs: simple rules for a complex issue. Dis Manage Health Outcomes 2003;11(3):149-157.
- Aziz Z, Absetz P, Oldroyd J, et al. A systematic review of real-world diabetes prevention programs: learnings from the last 15 years. Impl Sci 2015;10:172.
- Aziz Z, Matthews E, Absetz P, et al. A group-based lifestyle intervention for diabetes prevention in low- and middle income country: implementation evaluation of the Kerala Diabetes Prevention Program. Impl Sci 2018;13:97.
- Lim S, Hill B, Teede HJ, et al. An evaluation of the impact of lifestyle interventions on body weight of postpartum women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Rev 2020;21, e12990.
- 44. Äikäs AH, Absetz P, Hirvensalo MH, et al. What can you achieve in eight years? A case study on participation, effectiveness, and overall impact of a comprehensive workplace health promotion program. J Occup Environ Med 2019;61(12):964-977.
- King AC, Whitt-Glover MC, Marquez DX, et al. Physical activity promotion: highlights from the 2018 physical activity guidelines advisory committee systematic review. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2019;51(6):1340-1353.
- Pronk NP, Katz AS, Lowery M, et al. Reducing occupational sitting time and improving worker health: The Take-a-Stand Project, 2011. Prev Chronic Dis 2012;9:11-323 https://doi.org/10.5888/pcd9.110323.
- Adeleke SO, Healy GN, Smith C, et al. Effect of a workplace-driven sit-stand initiative on sitting time and work outcomes. Transl J of ACSM 2017;2(3):20-26.
- Diaz KM, Howard VJ, Hutto B, et al. Patterns of sedentary behavior and mortality in U.S. middle-aged and older adults: a national cohort study. Ann Intern Med 2017;1677:465-475.
- Hargreaves EA, Hayr KT, Jenkins M, et al. Interrupting sedentary time in the workplace using regular short activity breaks: practicality from an employer perspective. J Occup Environ Med 2020 https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.000000000001832. published ahead of print.
- Ramazzini B. Diseases of Workers (1700, Latin). Translated by Wright WC. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press. 1940:281-285.